ORIGINIA



2004 DEC -P → 3: 14

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL



One Renaissance Square Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2391 Tel 602.229.5200 Fax 602.229.5690 www.quarles.com

Naples and Boca Raton. Florida Chicago, Illinois Milwaukee and Madison, Wisconsin

Roger Ferland 602-229-5607 Fax: 602-420-5123 E-Mail: rferland@quarles.com

December 6, 2004

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

DEC 0 6 2004

VIA U.S. MAIL AND E-MAIL

100000 B- 04-0 126

DOCKETED BY ML

Mr. Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr., Esq. Jennings Strouss & Salmon PLC 201 East Washington, 11th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85004

Dear Mr. Sundlof:

In response to Chairman Woodall's request to "confer and if possible reach agreement on the issue of the acceptability or unacceptability of public comment by individuals represented by counsel," this letter states our position on this issue.

There are several provisions in the Arizona Revised Statutes which pertain to whether a represented party may give public testimony. The relevant provisions are listed below:

- ARS 40-360.04(C) indicates that the committee "shall receive **under oath** and before a court reporter the material, nonrepetitive evidence and comments of the **parties** to the proceedings..." (Emphasis added).
- ARS 40-360.05(B) indicates that "a person making a limited appearance [by filing a written statement] shall not be a party or have the right to present oral testimony or cross-examine witnesses." (Emphasis added).
- AAC R18-3-208(D) indicates that the presiding officer "shall receive under oath and before a court reporter the material, nonrepetitive evidence, and comments of the parties to the proceedings..." (Emphasis added).

Mr. Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr., Esq. December 6, 2004 Page 2

• AAC R18-3-208(F) indicates that "[i]ndividual parties may appear at the hearing on their own behalf. All other persons who are parties shall appear only by a licensed attorney." (Emphasis added).

Taken together, these provisions indicate that a party represented by counsel must only give testimony or comments <u>under oath</u>. Where a party is represented by counsel and is a party to the proceedings, such a party cannot be allowed to present "testimony" or comments during the public comment period, as those statements are not given under oath. The public statements are also not given through a licensed attorney. A party represented by counsel does not get two bites at the apple in providing evidence to the committee. Furthermore, there is a question of fairness when a represented party makes a public statement to the committee without an opportunity for the other parties to cross-examine that represented party.

Based on the foregoing analysis, we find that public comment by parties represented by counsel is inappropriate and should not be allowed.

Rogu Parlan

Roger Ferland

cc (via U.S. Mail and E-Mail):

Ernest G. Johnson, Director of Utilities, Arizona Corporation Commission

Ms. Laurie Woodall, Esq., Office of the Attorney General

Kelly J. Barr, Esq., Salt River Project

Laura Raffaelli, Esq., Salt River Project

Lisa Vandenberg, Esq., Arizona Corporation Commission

Mr. Walter Meek, Arizona Utility Investors Association

John R. Dacey, Esq., Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C.

Alicia M. Corbett, Esq., Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C.

K. Scott McCoy, Esq., City of Casa Grande

Leonard M. Bell, Esq., Bell Law Office, PC

George J. Chasse, Casa Grande Mountain Limited Partnership

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr., Esq., Munger, Chadwick, P.L.C.

Court S. Rich, Esq., Jorden Bischoff McGuire Rose & Hiser, P.L.C.

Karrin Kunasek Taylor, Esq., Biskind Hunt & Taylor, P.L.C.

James E. Mannato, Esq., Town of Florence

James J. Heiler, Esq.

Andrew E. Moore, Esq., Earl, Curley & LaGarde

Lynne A. Lagarde, Esq., Earl, Curley & LaGarde